

Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)

Date: 06 June 2017

Subject: All Saints Terrace Traffic Regulation Order

Capital Scheme Number: N/A

Are specific electoral wards affected? If 'yes', name(s) of ward(s): Burmantofts & Richmond Hill	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there implications for equality and diversity or cohesion and integration?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for call-in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If 'yes', access to information procedure rule number: Appendix number:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Summary of main issues

- 1 As a result of planning permission being granted for the development of the old York Road Library, the developer has entered into a Section 106 agreement to introduce a Traffic Regulation Order to prevent indiscriminate parking on All Saints Terrace in relation to the new development.
2. This report seeks authority to advertise and implement amendments to the Burmantofts & Richmond Hill Ward Traffic Regulation Consolidation Order (No.16) 2015, details of which are shown on the attached Drawing No TM-07-2910-01-01/02 and outlined in item 2.1.

Best City Ambition

4. The Best Council Plan 2013-17 outlines how Leeds City will achieve its ambition to become the Best City in the UK and Leeds City Council the best local authority and according to the Best Council Plan, the success of the Best Council objective: ensuring high quality public services will be partly measured through reduced numbers of people Killed or Seriously Injured on the city's roads. By enhancing the local residential environments through removing indiscriminate and obstructive parking, this will result in a safer and more user friendly road environment for all road users.

5 Recommendations

The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:

- i) Authorise the detailed design and subsequent implementation of a scheme on All Saints Terrace, as shown on drawings TM-07-2910-01-01 to introduce a No Waiting At Any Time restriction along its full length;
- ii) Approve the injection of £5,000 into the Traffic Management Revenue Budget to be recharged from a Section 106 private developer receipt;
- iii) Give authority to incur expenditure of £2,000 works costs, £2,000 legal fees and £1,000 staff costs fully funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt;
- iv) Instruct the City Solicitor to:
 - a) Advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order amending the Burmantofts & Richmond Hill Ward Traffic Regulation Consolidation Order (No. W16) Order 2015 to introduce the waiting restrictions as shown on drawing No.TM/07/2910/01/02 ; and
 - b) should no valid objections be received, make and seal the order as advertised.

1. Purpose of this report

1.1 The purpose of this report is;

- I. to seek approval for the detailed design and implementation of waiting restrictions on All Saints Terrace as shown on the attached Drawing No TM-07-2910-01-01/02 to prevent indiscriminate parking; and
- II. to seek authorisation for the City Solicitor to draft , advertise and seal a Traffic Regulation Order amending the Burmantofts & Richmond Hill Ward Traffic Regulation Consolidation Order (No. W16) Order 2015.

2. Background information

2.1 A Development Agreement for the old York Road Library was approved on 13th April 2017 to extend the building and change its use to a gym / fitness centre with car parking and landscaping.

2.2 The current access to the site is along All Saints Terrace which is due to have the vegetation removed and be resurfaced. As part of the development agreement concerns were raised that vehicles parking on this road could prevent access and egress to the new development and therefore waiting restrictions would be required.

2.2 Leeds City Council entered an agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to undertake highway works in the area that are

implementable by the means of a Traffic Regulation Order with the developer meeting all costs for the scheme.

- 2.3 The Section 106 Agreement stipulates that the Council will implement a Traffic Regulation Order in the vicinity of the proposed development in the interest of road safety.

3. Main issues

3.1 Design Proposals/Scheme Description

- 3.1.1 As part of the planning application for the old York Road Library, maintenance work is being proposed on All Saints Terrace to remove vegetation and create a wider access to the developed site.
- 3.1.2 Once the access has been improved and will be significantly wider, there is the potential for parking to occur both from users of the development and commuters who currently park on Cross Aysgarth Street
- 3.1.3 The total estimated cost of the required highway works is £2,000 works costs, £1,000 staff fee costs and £2,000 legal fee costs all to be funded by a Section 106 contribution from the developer within the Traffic Management Revenue Budget.

4.0 Programme

- 4.1 It is anticipated that the proposal will be commenced within the 2016/ 2017 financial year.

5. Corporate considerations

5.1 Consultation and engagement

- 5.1.1 Ward Members: Burmantofts & Richmond Hill councillors were consulted by e-mail on 19 May 2017. One Ward Member requested a meeting to discuss the proposals however they did not attend. No adverse comments were received from any Ward Members.
- 5.1.2 Emergency Services were consulted via email on 19 May 2017 and no adverse comments were received from the blue light services.
- 5.1.3 The scheme was discussed with the Safety Officers and it was deemed that a full audit was not required.

5.2 Equality and diversity, cohesion and integration

- 5.2.1 As the location does not currently have the facility for parking, and there will be no alteration to that principal with the amendments to the road and the introduction of restrictions, it is felt that there is no impact on Equality and therefore a screening is not required.

5.3 Council policies and the Best Council Plan

- 5.3.1 The proposals contained in the report have no implications for the council constitution.
- 5.3.2 Environmental Policy; the proposals contained in this report are in accordance with Aims 6 and 7 of the Policy in that the proposals will aid to “reduce the impact of traffic in the city by changes to the road system” and “develop a safe, healthy local environment which provides the best quality of life for Leeds residents.

5.4 Resources and value for money

- 5.4.1 **Full scheme estimate:** The total estimated cost of the required highway works is £2,000 works costs, £1,000 staff fee costs and £2,000 legal fee costs all to be funded by a Section 106 contribution from the developer within the Traffic Management Revenue Budget.

6 Legal implications, access to information and call-in

- 6.1 There are no specific Legal implications included within this report, nor is any information contained within the report to be deemed confidential.
- 6.2 The scheme is in the annual programme and will be completed in the 2016-2017 financial year.

7. Risk management

- 7.1 There is no risk, over and above those expected when working in the public highway, generated by the proposals contained within this report.

8.0 Conclusions

- 8.1 It is considered appropriate to introduce amendments to the Burmantofts & Richmond Hill Ward Traffic Regulation Consolidation Order (No.W16) 2015. These proposals will provide visibility benefits and prevent indiscriminate parking.

9.0 Recommendations

- 9.1 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:
- i) Authorise the detailed design and subsequent implementation of a scheme on All Saints Terrace, as shown on drawings TM-07-2910-01-01 to introduce a No Waiting At Any Time restriction along its full length;
 - ii) Approve the injection of £5,000 into the Traffic Management Revenue Budget to be recharged from a Section 106 private developer receipt;
 - iii) Give authority to incur expenditure of £2,000 works costs, £2,000 legal fees and £1,000 staff costs fully funded from a Section 106 private developer receipt;

- iv) Instruct the City Solicitor to:
 - a) Advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order amending the Burmantofts & Richmond Hill Ward Traffic Regulation Consolidation Order (No.W16) 2015 to introduce the waiting restrictions as shown on drawing No.TM/07/2910/01/02; and
 - b) should no valid objections be received, make and seal the order as advertised.

10.0 Background documents¹

10.1 None.

¹ The background documents listed in this section are available to download from the council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.

Appendix 1

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: City Services	Service area: Traffic Management
Lead person: James Chadwick	Contact number: 3787499

1. Title: All Saints Terrace Traffic Regulation Order

Is this a:

Strategy / Policy

 Service / Function

 Other

If other, please specify: Highway Improvement Scheme to address accident pattern and accommodate increased traffic volume associated with new development.

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The Screening focuses on the proposals to introduce a Traffic Regulation Order on All Saints Terrace in the Burmantofts & Richmond Hull ward. The restrictions will prevent indiscriminate parking on the new access road to a development.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment,

residential location or family background and education or skills levels).		
Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?		X
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		X
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		X
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		X
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment • Advancing equality of opportunity • Fostering good relations 		X

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4**.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration
If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.
Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key findings (think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actions (think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and
--

integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.	
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	
Date to complete your impact assessment	
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	

6. Governance, ownership and approval		
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening		
Name	Job title	Date
Nicholas Hunt	Traffic Engineering Manager	May 2017

7. Publishing	
This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.	
Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing	
Date screening completed	19 May 2017
Date sent to Equality Team	
Date published (To be completed by the Equality Team)	